

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,215	01/17/2006	Jonathan Lightner	7896-71314-07	5991
74051 7590 10/08/2008 Klarquist Sparkman, LLP 121 SW Salmon St., Floor 16			EXAM	INER
			MCELWAIN, ELIZABETH F	
Portland, OR	97204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/539,215	LIGHTNER ET AL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Elizabeth F. McElwain	1638	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period fo	or Reply
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Isoland of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1-136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed by the provision of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication.  In this communication is the communication of the commu
Status	
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 July 2008.
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	on of Claims
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicati	on Papers
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachmen	
111 I Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

'/ 🗀	Notice of References Cited (F10-692)
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3/	Information Block our Ctature at(a) (BTB)(CF)(cm)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

4) [	Interview Summary (PTO-413
′ -	Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/539,215 Page 2

Art Unit: 1638

#### DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed July 2, 2008 has been entered.

Claims 1 and 6 are currently amended.

Claims 9-11 are cancelled

Claims 1-8 are pending and are examined on the merits.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
  obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al (US PGPub 2002/0078475 in IDS) taken with Harper et al (US PGPub 2002/0160378), as stated in the last office action.
- 4. The claims are drawn to a transgenic plant comprising a plant transformation vector that is comprising a nucleotide sequence that encodes or is complementary to a sequence that encodes

Application/Control Number: 10/539,215 Page 3

Art Unit: 1638

a citrate synthase polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 or an ortholog thereof whereby the transgenic plant has a high oil phenotype relative to control plants.

- 5. Li et al teach optimizing plants for seed oil production by transforming a plant with a gene encoding an enzyme in the beta-oxidation pathway, including citrate synthase (see paragraphs 16-17 of the Detailed Description, for example).
- 6. Li et al do not specifically teach an isolated gene encoding citrate synthase activity.
- Harper et al (SEQ ID NO: 1553) teach a nucleic acid sequence encoding a citrate synthase having 87% sequence similarity to a nucleic acid encoding SEQ ID NO: 2.
- 8. Given the recognition of those of ordinary skill in the art of the desirability of producing a transgenic plant having a high oil phenotype by transforming a plant with a gene encoding an enzyme in the beta-oxidation pathway, such as citrate synthase, as taught by Li et al, it would have been obvious to use the method of Li et al and to modify it by substituting the citrate synthase coding sequence taught by Harper et al, which would be considered an ortholog of the citrate synthase of SEQ ID NO: 2. Thus the claimed invention would have been prima facie obvious as a whole to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time it was made, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.
- 9. Applicants' arguments filed July 2, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that Li et al teach that a decrease in citrate synthase would affect beta-oxidation and increase oil production, stating that Li et al teach away from the present invention of increasing citrate synthase expression to increase oil production. The Examiner maintains that the examples do not demonstrate an increase in citrate synthase expression, and therefore it is unclear that the increase in oil production is due to an increase in expression of the

Art Unit: 1638

gene. It appears that the results could be due to cosuppression of the gene, as opposed to overexpression of the gene. The evidence for non-obviousness must be commensurate with the scope of the claims.

#### Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11.

No claims are allowed

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth F. McElwain whose telephone number is (571) 272-0802. The examiner can normally be reached on increased flex time.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,215 Page 5

Art Unit: 1638

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on (571) 272-0975. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EFM

/Elizabeth F. McElwain/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638